

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/718,886 11/21/2003		11/21/2003	Stephen B. Leonard	J-3512A	1483	
28165	7590	06/01/2005		EXAMINER		
S.C. JOHN			BUGG, GEORGE A			
1525 HOWE RACINE, V			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ŕ				2636		
			DATE MAILED: 06/01/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)					
	10/718,886		LEONARD ET AL						
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit					
		George A. Bugg		2636					
	E of this communication ap	pears on the cover	sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	ldress				
Period for Reply									
THE MAILING DATE OF - Extensions of time may be availal after SiX (6) MONTHS from the m - If the period for reply specified ab - If NO period for reply is specified ab - Failure to reply within the set or expenses.	TORY PERIOD FOR REPL THIS COMMUNICATION. ole under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 nailing date of this communication. ove is less than thirty (30) days, a rep above, the maximum statutory period ktended period for reply will, by statute ater than three months after the mailin see 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, howe ly within the statutory mir will apply and will expire e, cause the application to	ever, may a reply be time imum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED	ety filed will be considered time the mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.				
Status									
1) Responsive to com	munication(s) filed on 21 N	lovember 2003.							
2a) This action is FINA		s action is non-fin	al.						
3) Since this application	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are 4a) Of the above cla 5)□ Claim(s) is/a 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-12</u> is/are 7)□ Claim(s) is/a	e rejected.	wn from consider							
Application Papers									
9) ☐ The specification is	objected to by the Examin	er.							
	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 April 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	uest that any objection to the								
Replacement drawing 11) The oath or declarate	sheet(s) including the correction is objected to by the E	•	-, -						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19								
2. Certified copies3. Copies of the application from the complex control of the copies.		ts have been rece ts have been rece prity documents ha nu (PCT Rule 17.2	eived. eived in Application eve been receive (a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage				
Attachment(s)					·				
1) Notice of References Cited (P		4) 🗌	Interview Summary						
Notice of Draftsperson's Pater Information Disclosure Statem Paper No(s)/Mail Date	nt Drawing Review (PTO-948) ent(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	,	Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa Other:	atent Application (PT	O-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/718,886

Art Unit: 2636

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-4, drawn to s system for detecting the loss of continuity in a circuit, classified in class 340, subclass 652.
 - II. Claims 5-12, drawn to a system, which determines the presence of container proximal to a base, classified in class 340, subclass 539.23.
 - III. Claims 13-18, drawn to a dispensing system, classified in class 340, subclass 606.
 - IV. Claims 19-21, drawn to s system for determining the age of a product, classified in class 340, subclass 572.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I, II, III and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I-IV has separate utility such as a contents level detector, a docking station, a dispenser, and an age monitor. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/718,886

Art Unit: 2636

A telephone call was made to Frank McDonald on 05/25/2005 to request an oral 4.

election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being

made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must

include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be

traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected 5.

invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by

a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112: 6.

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

7. Claim 5 recites the limitation "The unit" in line 1 of the claim. There is insufficient

antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim, and therefore claims 6-12 are rejected

as well. Amendment is needed.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/718,886

Art Unit: 2636

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George A. Bugg whose telephone number is (571) 272-2998. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 9:00-6:30, and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeff Hofsass can be reached on (571) 272-2981. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

George A Bugg Examiner Art Unit 2636

May 25, 2005

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600